

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/584,062	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAYASU, TETSUYUKI	
	<b>Examiner</b> GREG BENGZON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

(1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2011

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed incorporating claim limitations regarding 'creator information recorded in the header of the video or audio data frame' as described in Specification Page 8 Lines 30-45 in order to further the claims towards allowance. Mr. Kopchik agreed to the said amendments and indicated authorization for Examiners Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Greg Bengzon/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)